

Redistro matching game: How much is enough?

Apparently even billionaires think they don't have enough money, so what does that mean for the rest of us? Of course, we all need enough money to live on and to enjoy life, but where do we decide to put the cut off point? At what point can we decide that we've got enough individual wealth and it's time to put money into collective hands? This handy game can help with changing mind-set – from thinking based in individual fear of scarcity, draw a line to the thinking based in community abundance.

Scarcity thinking

You never know what's going to happen, so I need to invest everything I have in the security of myself and my family.

If I give money away for collective use, I run the risk of being left without money at a time that I need it the most.

If I keep my money for myself, I'm in control of what happens to it. If I give my money away, it may get wasted or people might just keep it for themselves.

Even if I gave away every penny I own, the world would still be a mess.

I may have more than I need to survive but I'm not *that* rich, so it's not my financial responsibility to put money into collective hands – leave it to those with the big bucks.

Abundance thinking

The world is such a mess that anything we can do to help transform it makes a difference – even on a small scale.

I've got my basics covered and can afford a few luxuries, so I can work with others to put some of our surplus into collective hands.

If I use my resources to help build a more collective culture, when times get tough I'll be part of a community where we can support each other.

I trust that groups of people with little access to money and resources will use grant money wisely – because they know money doesn't come easily, and because they believe in the work they are doing.

Uncertainty is a part of life – I can never be secure in every way, so why not support people that have much more uncertain lives than I do?